

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action mailed on August 16, 2007, the Examiner stated that the reply filed by the applicant on July 19, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action. Specifically, the Examiner asserted that applicants failed to state whether or not the newly added claim 61 reads on the elected claims.


Applicant hereby respectfully state that the newly added claim 61 is directed to the same subject matter as in the previously elected species 1, Figure 1, corresponding to claims 1-2, 4, 7-8, 11-12, 14-15, 21, 25-26, 29-31, 40-42, 45, and 52-55. Furthermore, applicant's attorney apologizes to the Examiner for this omission.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1-2, 4, 7-8, 11-12, 14-15, 21, 25-26, 29-31, 40-42, 45, and 52-55 are now in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining matters that may be handled by telephone conference, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 9-17-07

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Elliott Y. Chen  
Lee & Hayes, PLLC  
Reg. No. 58,293  
(206) 315-7914